## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

January 28, 1998 LB 360

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I don't believe so, Senator Chambers, because I think the operative words are, as it relates to the public official or public employee, and I'm just going to call it the official for shorthand, the official shall not use or authorize the use of the volunteer. So if the volunteer elects on their own initiative and motion to participate, they may. But to the extent that they are volunteering under the auspices of the official, then that official cannot direct or authorize them to participate in that manner.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if a person were volunteering in my office, I could not tell that person that they can oppose these various items?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: You could tell them that they could oppose those items. You could not tell them that they...to do this, that, or another thing in opposition to those items and compel their doing so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't see anything about compelling anybody, it just says, authorize the use. They may not even do it, but if the authorization is given, the offense is committed, as I read the language.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I wasn't trying to engage in a war of semantics or a discussion of semantics, authorize and direct to me are very similar in the superior supervised relationship, subordinate relationship.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Not to be argumentative, Senator Brashear, but I don't see the term...the word "direct" either. It's just authorizing, giving permission or...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, what I was trying to illustrate, I agree, direct is not there. I was trying to say when I authorize somebody to do something who is operating under my authority or direction,...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...then I think I have directed them.